

**Criteria for Assessing Grant Applications to the Mendocino County Fish & Game Commission** (Version 3.0 – Jan. 2015)

	<b>CRITERIA</b>	<b>WAY TO MEASURE IT</b>	<b>RANKING</b>
1	Meets Fish & Game Code Sections and Restrictions	Explicit statements in the grant proposal describing which section(s) of the code the activities match up with	<p>0 = <b>no</b> sections mentioned in the application or implied</p> <p>1 = sections mentioned; but <b>no description</b> provided</p> <p>2 = sections mentioned; <b>inadequate</b> description provided</p> <p>3 = sections mentioned with <b>adequate</b> description</p> <p>4 = sections mentioned; description <b>clearly and fully</b> demonstrates all proposed activities match with code</p>
2	Work proposed is feasible	Methods are clearly outlined and detailed with attached calendar schedule of activities	<p>0 = methods are <b>not mentioned</b></p> <p>1 = methods are mentioned; but <b>no details</b> provided</p> <p>2 = methods are partially and <b>inadequately</b> detailed</p> <p>3 = methods are <b>adequately</b> detailed but <b>no clear schedule</b></p> <p>4 = methods are <b>clearly and fully detailed with calendar schedule</b></p>
3	Work benefits the local ecology	Proposed activities contribute to improved habitat and/or increased survivorship	<p>0 = activities have <b>no</b> [in]direct positive impact on local ecology</p> <p>1 = activities have an <b>indirect positive</b> impact on local ecology</p> <p>2 = activities have a <b>potential, but unclear direct positive</b> impact</p> <p>3 = activities have a <b>clear and direct positive short-term</b> impact</p> <p>4 = activities have a <b>clear and direct positive short-term and long-term</b> impact</p>

4	Work benefits hunting and fishing opportunities	Proposed activities contribute to hunting and fishing opportunities	<p>0 = activities <b>do not contribute positively</b> to hunting and fishing opportunities.</p> <p>1 = activities <b>indirectly contribute</b> positively to hunting and fishing opportunities.</p> <p>2 = activities have a <b>potential, but unclear direct positive</b> impact.</p> <p>3 = activities have a <b>clear and direct positive short-term</b> impact.</p> <p>4 = activities have a <b>clear and direct positive short-term and long-term</b> impact.</p>
5	Applicant(s) work(s) well and cooperatively with related agencies	Applicant(s) is/are recognized professionally and known for positive collaborative relationships	<p>-5 = applicants have a known, and <b>negative</b> history with local agencies</p> <p>0 = applicants have <b>no</b> known professional recognition, and <b>no</b> known collaborative history with local agencies</p> <p>1 = applicant(s) <b>are recognized</b> professionally for their contributions; but have <b>no</b> known collaborative history with local agencies</p> <p>2 = applicant(s) <b>are recognized</b> professionally and have <b>minimal</b> collaborative history with local agencies</p> <p>3 = applicant(s) <b>are recognized</b> professionally and have a <b>positive and longer-term</b> collaborative history with local agencies</p> <p>4 = applicant(s) <b>are recognized</b> professionally and have an <b>excellent and long-term</b> collaborative history with local agencies</p>
6	Proposed activities represent the “best use” of limited Commission funds	Proposal demonstrates efficient and effective use of the Commission’s limited funds	<p>0 = Effectiveness is <b>vague</b>.</p> <p>1 = Effectiveness is <b>partially</b> clear.</p> <p>2 = Effectiveness is <b>clear</b>, but <b>some activities are questionable</b>.</p> <p>3 = Effectiveness is <b>clear</b> and <b>all activities are effective</b>.</p> <p>4 = Effectiveness is <b>clear</b>, <b>all activities are effective</b> and the applicant(s) is/are <b>leveraging or matching the funds requested</b>.</p>